





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,984	04/12/2001	Trefor Morgan	42251/DBP/C664	3540	
23363	7590 10/04/2003		EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP 350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500			HELLNER, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PASADENA,	CA 91105		3663		
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/04/2001	DATE MAILED: 10/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

<i>i</i> ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/834,984	MORGAN ET AL.				
Since Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this	Mark Heliner	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(6) 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the	S) FROM ely filed will be considered timely.				
20) This sail a second minimal call of (S) filled of						
ZDIX This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4,5 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	nootion requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted	d or b)☐ objected to by the Exami	nor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) he hold in chave a	07				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is	: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapprove	d by the Evaminar				
The state of the s	to this Office action	d by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the attached detailed Office action for a li	documents have been received in	this National Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pri	iority under 35 LLS C s 440(2) (
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic pri	anal anglication to	· ·				
	, 00 0.0.0. 33 120 and	1/01 127.				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTC 5) Notice of Informal Paten 6) Other:	O-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action S	Ummanı					

Application/Control Number: 09/834,984

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mizrahi.

Mizrahi discloses a WDM optical signal transmission system comprising: a first node (west-east transmit); a second node (west-east receive); a bi-directional trunk line (70) connecting the two nodes; two propagation dependent optical junction elements (72 and 74); at least two paths (75 and 78) connected in parallel between the junction elements, one of the paths (78) including an optical amplifier (figure 2); the amplifier providing unidirectional amplification of east and west bound signals. This structure reads on claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 9, 11 and 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizrahi.

Art Unit: 3663

Page 3

Claim 10 would have been obvious because the circulator (74) in combination with filters (76) disclosed by Mizrahi would have suggested WDM multiplexing/demultiplexing to a person of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 2, 4, 5 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at telephone number 703 306 4155.

Mark Hellner

September 27, 2003

MARKHELLNER
CRIMARY EXAMINER
3663

Mark Heller